

## **Single Event Effect Proton and Heavy Ion Test Results for Candidate Spacecraft Electronics**

Kenneth A. LaBel, Amy K. Moran, Donald K. Hawkins, James A. Cooley  
NASA/GSFC  
Code 735.1  
Greenbelt, MD 20771

Christina M. Seidleck  
Hughes/ST Systems Corporation  
Code 735.1, NASA/GSFC  
Greenbelt, MD 20771

Michele M. Gates  
Jackson and Tull  
NASA/GSFC, Code 735.1  
Greenbelt, MD 20771

Brian S. Smith  
NASA/GSFC  
Code 735.2  
Greenbelt, MD 20771

E.G. Stassinopoulos  
Code 900.0, NASA/GSFC  
Greenbelt, MD 20771

Paul Marshall  
NRL/SFA, Washington, D.C.

Cheryl Dale  
NRL, Washington, D.C.

### *Abstract*

We present proton and heavy ion single event effect (SEE) ground test results for candidate spacecraft electronics. Device types include digital and analog components, MIL-STD-1553B transceivers, ADCs, FPGAs, SRAMs, optoelectronics, and a microprocessors.

### *Summary*

## **INTRODUCTION**

As spacecraft and spacecraft designers increasingly utilize increasing number of commercial technology devices versus the more traditional radiation hardened (RH) components in order to

meet stringent spacecraft requirements in such areas as volume, weight, power, cost and schedule, SEE ground testing has become a key in many spaceflight programs. Amongst NASA's projects that understand the need for SEE tests is Goddard Space Flight Center's (GSFC) Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer (FUSE).

The objective of this study was to determine the Linear Energy Transfer (LET) threshold (the minimum LET value to cause an effect at a fluence of  $1\text{E}7$  particles/cm<sup>2</sup>) and saturation cross section of candidate spacecraft electronics (FUSE and other GSFC programs) for Single Event Upset (SEU) and latchup (SEL) due to protons and heavy ions.

## TEST TECHNIQUES AND SETUP

### --- FACILITY USAGE 1

The test facility used for heavy ion experiments was the Brookhaven National Laboratories (BNL) Single Event Upset Test Facility (SEUTF). The SEUTF utilizes a tandem Tandem Van De Graaff accelerator suitable for providing various ions and energies. Test boards containing the device under test (DUT) are mounted inside a vacuum chamber.

Ions used are listed below. Intermediate LETs were obtained by changing the angle of incidence of the DUT to the ion beam, thus changing the path length of the ion through the DUT.

ION	ENERGY in MeV	LET at Normal Incidence in MeV*cm/mg
C-12	98	1.45
F-19	140	3.45
Cl-35	211	11.5
Ni-58	263	26.7
I-127	320	59.7
Au-197	341	81.9

Energies and LETs are nominal due to slight variances in the beam at multiple test dates during the calendar year.

### --- FACILITY USAGE 2

The test facility utilized for proton SEE testing was the University of California at Davis (UCD) cyclotron facility. Proton energies and fluxes were measured as those incident on the DUT package. Test energies ranged from 22 to 63 MeV incident upon the test device.

### --- TEST METHOD

Three modes of testing are used depending on the DUT. They are as follows:

*static* - load device prior to beam irradiation, then retrieve data post-test run counting errors (either transients or bit flips)

*dynamic* - actively exercise a DUT during beam exposure while counting errors

and for SEL only,

*biased* - DUT is biased and clocked while lcc (power consumption) is monitored for SEL conditions.

All tests were performed at room temperature.

## TEST RESULTS

The parts and partial test data information is as follows: (HI=Heavy Ion, P=Proton, SEU=SEU LETth, SEL=SEL LETth, All LETs in MeV\*cm<sup>2</sup>/mg, all cross sections in cm<sup>2</sup>/device).

### Summary of Test Results

PART #	MANUFACTURER	FUNCTION	PROCESS	TEST DATA	MISC.
Mongoose	LSI Logic	Microprocessor	1.0 $\mu$ m HCMOS on EPI	<b>HI:</b> SEU=23-26 <b>SEL</b> >85	Hardened version of R3000-based "Cobra"
AD1671	Analog Devices	12-bit ADC	BiCMOS	<b>HI:</b> SEL>90	SEL only
HS26C31	Harris	Differential Driver	1.2 $\mu$ m HCMOS	<b>HI:</b> SEU>80 <b>SEL</b> >80	~
HS26C32	Harris	Differential Receiver	1.2 $\mu$ m HCMOS	<b>HI:</b> SEU>80 <b>SEL</b> >80	~
HS2420	Harris	Sample-and-Hold	1.2 $\mu$ m HCMOS	<b>HI:</b> SEU=20 <b>SEL</b> >80	~
ODL200 TX	ATT/CTS	200 Mbps Fiber optic TX	GaAlAs diode/bipolar	<b>P:</b> No SEUs <b>HI on IC:</b> SEU>45 <b>SEL</b> >82	IC and separate diode in each device
ODL200 REC	ATT/CTS	200 Mbps Fiber optic REC	GaAlAs diode/bipolar	<b>P:</b> data varies with clock rate <b>HI on IC:</b> SEU~3 <b>SEL</b> >82	IC and separate diode in each device
Hot Rod	Gazelle	High speed comm. protocol	GaAs	<b>P:</b> 1.5E-8 <b>HI:</b> SEU<1.5	~

TX		IC		SEL>120	
Hot Rod REC	Gazelle	High speed comm. protocol IC	GaAs	<b>P:</b> 1.5E-8 <b>HI:</b> SEU<1.5 SEL>120	~
HM68512	Hitachi	4 Mbit SRAM	CMOS on EPI	<b>P:</b> 1.6E-6(static) 2.2E-5(dynamic)	Heavy Ion results previously reported
HM68128	Hitachi	1 Mbit SRAM	CMOS on EPI	<b>HI:</b> SEU<1.4 SEL>110	~
EL2243	Hitachi	Analog op-amp	Bipolar	<b>HI:</b> SEU=5 SEL>110	~
HS508RH	Harris	Analog MUX	HCMOS	<b>HI:</b> SEU=110 SEL>110	~
AD676	Analog Devices	16-bit ADC	CMOS and BIMOS II	<b>HI:</b> SEU<3.4 SEL-25	Hybrid
UT63M125	UTMC	MIL-STD-1553B Transceiver	Bipolar	<b>HI:</b> SEU<11.5 SEL>80	15V supply
63125	ILC Data Devices Corp	MIL-STD-1553B Transceiver	Bipolar	<b>HI:</b> SEU=14 SEL>80	15V supply
AX3411	Aeroflex	MIL-STD-1553B Transceiver	Bipolar	<b>HI:</b> SEU<11.5 SEL>80	15V supply
CT1487D	Marconi/CTI	MIL-STD-1553B Transceiver	Bipolar	<b>HI:</b> SEU=11.5 SEL>80	15V supply
NHI1500	National Hybrids	MIL-STD-1553B Transceiver	Bipolar	<b>HI:</b> SEU<11.5 SEL>80	15V supply
FC1553921	STC	MIL-STD-1553B Transceiver	Bipolar	<b>HI:</b> SEU<11.5 SEL>80	5V supply

63147	UTMC/Microrel	MIL-STD-1553B Transceiver	Bipolar	<b>HI:</b> SEU<11.5 SEL>80	5V supply
CT2521	Marconi/CTI	MIL-STD-1553B Transceiver	Bipolar	<b>HI:</b> SEU<26.5 SEL>80	5V supply
AX3453	Aeroflex	MIL-STD-1553B Transceiver	Bipolar	<b>HI:</b> SEU<11.5 SEL>80	5V supply
NHI1529	National Hybrids	MIL-STD-1553B Transceiver	Bipolar	<b>HI:</b> SEU<11.5 SEL>80	5V supply
AT22V10	Atmel	PAL	CMOS	<b>HI:</b> SEU<11.5 SEL>80	~
IDA07318	Hewlett Packard	Laser Driver	ECL	<b>HI:</b> SEL>80	SEL only
LM108	NSC	Op-amp	Bipolar	<b>HI:</b> TID degradation at ~2.5krad(Si)	~
LM139	NSC	Analog comparator	Bipolar	<b>HI:</b> TID degradation at ~2.5krad(Si)	~
TSC4429	Teledyne	Mosfet driver	Bipolar	<b>HI:</b> SEU>120 SEL>120	~
CP20420	Crosspoint	FPGA	CMOS	<b>HI:</b> SEU~12.5 SEL 15-26.6	~
88C20	NSC	Driver	CMOS	<b>HI:</b> SEU~11.3 SEL>120	~
88C30	NSC	Receiver	CMOS	<b>HI:</b> SEU>120 SEL>120	~
SPT7922	Signal Processing	16-bit ADC	Bipolar	<b>HI:</b> SEU<3.4 SEL>120	~

	Technologies				
--	--------------	--	--	--	--

## *Discussion*

### **LM108, LM139**

These devices are bipolar operational amplifier (LM108) and an analog comparator (LM139) respectively manufactured by NSC. The test method used for both devices was straightforward. The DUT was operated in-step with a reference device. The outputs from both devices (both the DUT and the reference) were then compared. A noncompare in the outputs was logged as an SEU.

During heavy ion irradiation, the LM108 showed a permanent increase in current while the LM139 showed a permanent decrease in current both after exposure to several ( $< 5$ ) kRads at lower LET values ( $< 30$ ). These discrepancies persisted after power was cycled to the device, however, the devices were still fully operational. In addition, this current phenomena was accompanied by ever increasing SEU counts from run to run at the same LET value.

These devices were later tested by NASA's code 300 and found to have total dose failure at  $\sim 2.5$  kRad(Si). It is believed that the current anomalies as well as the creeping SEU counts observed were due to total dose degradation (parametrically) of the devices. It should be noted that these were strictly commercial devices with no upscreening to MIL-883.

### **Mongoose**

The Mongoose is a  $1.0\mu\text{m}$  HCMOS on EPI radiation hardened version of the R3000-based "Cobra" microprocessor manufactured by LSI Logic.

Testing of this device exercised all accessible core and peripheral register sets, along with the arithmetic logic unit (ALU). A watchdog timer and comparator monitored Mongoose operation during irradiation. The DUT sent an "OK" pulse to the timer, while the software surveyed outputs and also sent the timer a pulse provided the output was correct. If the watchdog timer failed to receive either signal, the error (or SEU) counter was incremented and the DUT reset.

The test system could capture a maximum of 1 error/second. On some test runs errors may have been missed, for example, those occurring during reset or other processor operations.

Heavy ion test results for this device were very encouraging. Shown in [figure 1](#), the SEU LET<sub>th</sub> was experimentally found to be 23-26 while the SEL LET<sub>th</sub> was  $> 85$  making this device more than an order of magnitude harder than the Intel 386. The Mongoose is the first known rad-hard commercially compatible 32-bit microprocessor.

### **1553 Transceivers**

Ten device types of MIL-STD-1553B transceivers from multiple manufacturers were tested. Both +5V and +15V bipolar device samples were used.

During irradiation, known data was sent from the BC or bus controller (inside of a PC) on one side of the 1553 bus to one of the DUTs (aka remote terminal or RT). The 1553 protocol performed the message error checking on the transfers (parity errors, incorrect addresses). The data inside the receiving RT was then transferred to its other side and transmitted back to the PC. Protocol error checking was performed here as well. All errors were logged as SEUs by the PC.

A message error cross section was determined per test run (# message errors/fluence). Data was transmitted by both BC and RT at 471 kbit/second creating an effective bus utilization of 94% which is a "worst case" bus scenario.

As seen in [figure 2](#), the results from these devices varied from vendor to vendor.

### **HS26C31, HS26C32**

These devices are 1.2μm HCMOS differential driver (HS26C31) and receiver (HS26C32) pair manufactured by Harris. In this test, the driver and receiver were irradiated separately using the same test procedure for both.

A signal was sent to the driver and then through the receiver. The receiver outputs were multiplexed together and then compared to the original signal to detect errors at a rate of 0.9216Mhz.

These devices were virtually immune to heavy ion SEU and SEL for LETs up to 80.

### **SPT7922**

The SPT7922 is a 16-bit ADC manufactured by Signal Processing Technologies. During irradiation, the device (DUT) was operated in-step with a reference device.

An SEU was defined as  $|V_d - V_r| > 55\text{mV}$  where  $V_d$ =device output voltage and  $V_r$ =reference output voltage,  $\pm 4\text{V}$  sine wave (peak to peak).

[Figure 3](#) shows the SEU LET<sub>th</sub> to be  $< 3.4$  with a maximum device cross section of  $\sim 1.5\text{E-}03\text{cm}^2$ . The SEL LET<sub>th</sub> was  $> 120$  at a cross section of  $1\text{E-}07\text{cm}^2$ .

### **CP20420**

The CP20420 is a CMOS field programmable gate array (FPGA) from Crosspoint. For test purposes, this device was programmed with a two-part design. A FIFO was used to test memory applications and a ring counter to test the sequential logic applications.

Operated at 1Mhz, 5V, the FIFO cycled through a read/write sequence and an SEU occurred when either a mismatch in data was read or an invalid FIFO address was encountered. The ring counter sent 1 pulse through 32 stages with an SEU occurring during either missing or extra pulses.

[Figure 4](#) shows the SEU LET<sub>th</sub> to be  $\sim 12.5$ . All samples tested of this device latched up at an

LET of 26.6. Since no LET values between 15 and 26.6 could be attained due to mounting constraints, the latchup threshold lies between those two values.

### **HS508**

The HS508 is an 8 to 1 multiplexor manufactured by Harris. Samples tested were the RH version.

For this test, the DUT was again operated in-step with a reference and compared. Step analog signals of 9.7V, 7.5V, and 5.0V were continuously applied to input channels of both the DUT and the reference. The signal was applied to only one input channel of the HS508 at a time to maintain channel-to-channel comparison. Output from the DUT and reference were fed to ADCs and digital output were then compared. Several bits of the ADC were masked to accommodate circuit noise. An error (SEU) was logged if the difference exceeded 5V.

The SEU LET<sub>th</sub> was experimentally found to be 110. No latchup was seen for LETs up to 110.

### **AT22V10**

The AT22V10 is a CMOS programmable array logic (PAL) device manufactured by Atmel. During irradiation, the DUT was operated in-step with a reference AT22V10 and compared at 1.0Mhz.

[Figure 5](#) shows the SEU cross section data for the AT22V10 PAL. LET<sub>th</sub> is between 9 and 11.5 with a maximum cross section  $< 3E-04\text{cm}^2/\text{device}$ .

### **AD1671**

The AD1671 is a 12-bit 1.25MSPS analog-to-digital convertor manufactured by Analog Devices and constructed with a bipolar/CMOS process. This device was tested for latchup only.

The DUT was biased and operated with a checkerboard input alternating between 0 and +5V. The currents from both analog and digital sections of the device were monitored for latchup.

The AD1671 was found to be virtually immune to latchup for the LET range 11.5 to 90.

### **HM68512**

The HM68512 is a 4Mbit SRAM (CMOS on EPI) manufactured by Hitachi.

This device was proton tested in two modes: dynamic and static. In dynamic mode, a read/write was performed on the first 32k memory locations at 1.3077Mhz while the device was being irradiated. For static mode, the device was loaded (again, the first 32k memory locations), then irradiated. The read and compare were performed post-beam. In both modes the device was tested with checkerboard, all 1s and all 0s patterns.

[Figure 6](#) shows SRAM cross section per device versus proton energy for both operating modes. Little energy dependence was seen. However, the maximum cross section for static mode was



approximately  $> 1$  order of magnitude less than for dynamic mode ( $1\text{E-}06\text{cm}^2/\text{device}$  vs.  $2.2\text{E-}05\text{ cm}^2/\text{device}$ ).

## **AD676**

The AD676 is a 16-bit ADC (CMOS/BIMOS II) using a switched-capacitor/charge redistribution architecture. The device is autocalibrating to correct for internal nonlinearities. 100kSPS (samples per second) is the maximum conversion rate of the AD676.

During testing, output from the DUT and a reference were compared for errors after each conversion. Of the 16 bits, the 6 least significant bits were ignored to adjust for noise. An SEU was defined as:  $|V_d - V_r| > 150\text{mV}$  with a 10V input range, where  $V_d$ =device output voltage and  $V_r$ =reference output voltage.

The AD676 was tested with heavy ions in the LET range 3.38 to 28. Upsets were observed at an LET of 3.38. The SEU LET<sub>th</sub> is  $< 3.38$  as shown in [figure 7](#). The SEL LET<sub>th</sub> was 25.

## *Conclusion*

Following proton and heavy ion testing, devices generally are categorized into one of four defined categories for recommendation to the flight project of interest.

Devices in the *first category* are those that are relatively hard or immune to SEEs and are recommended for spaceflight. The **HS26C31** and **HS26C32** differential driver and receiver pair saw no SEEs for LETs up to 80 and can be judged SEE immune. Also in this category is the **HS508** multiplexor. This device has an SEU threshold of 110 making it very hard to SEEs. The **AD1671**, **TSC4429**, and **IDA07318** (SEL only) are included here as well.

The *second category* includes devices that are somewhat susceptible to SEEs and may need some error detection and correction (EDAC) when used in an application. Included here are the **Mongoose**, the **1553** transceivers, and the **HS2420**.

Devices in the *third category* are fairly soft devices that are very susceptible to SEEs. In a space application, these devices should be used with great caution. Intensive EDAC schemes may be necessary as these devices have potentially high error rates. Included here are the **ODL200**, **SPT7922**, **Hot Rod**, **HM68128**, **EL2243** and the **HM68512**.

The *fourth and final category* contains those devices that are not recommended for spaceflight. Destructive conditions were seen in these devices at low LETs such as latchup, total dose failure or burnout. Included here are the **LM108**, **LM139**, **CP20420**, and **AD676**.

## *Acknowledgements*

The authors would like to acknowledge the participating Goddard programs namely the Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer (FUSE), Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM), and XRS. Special thanks to the Naval Research Laboratory.